

©

Government of Kerala
കേരള സർക്കാർ
2009



Reg. No. റജി. നമ്പർ
KL/TV(N)/12/2009-2011

KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

അധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധീകൃതമായ

Vol. LIV
വാല്യം 54

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY
തിരുവന്തപുരം, പൊറ്റ

5th May 2009

2009 മെയ് 5

No.

15th Vaisakha 1931
1931 വേണ്ടാവം 15

നമ്പർ

18

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department

Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O.(Rt.) No. 237/2009/LBR,

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th February 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri G. Gopalakrishna Pillai, Proprietor, Divya Export Enterprises, Bharanikavu, Sasthamkotta and the workmen of the above referred establishment Shri K. Mohanan Pichinattu Kizhakkathil, Eruva East, Pathiyoor P. O., Kayamkulam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said Industrial Dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri K. Mohanan, Roaster, Divya Export Enterprises, Bharanikavu, Sasthamkotta by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 238/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th February 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The General Manager, Tata Coffee Limited, Malakkipara Estate, Sholayar Nagar P. O., 642 125 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by 1. The General Secretary, Kerala Union of Plantation Workers Union (HMS), Sholayar Nagar P. O., 2. The General Secretary, Tata Tea Estate Labour Union (CITU), Malakkipara Unit, Sholayar Nagar P. O., 3. The General Secretary, Devikulam Estate Labour Union, (AITUC), Malakkipara Unit, Sholayar Nagar P.O., 5. The General Secretary, Estate Staff Union of South India, Malakkipara Unit, Sholayar Nagar P. O., 6. Shri T. A. Anto, President, Kerala Estate Workers Union (INTUC), Thekkannathu House, Main Road, Chalakudy in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal, will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Which is the quantum of bonus for the year 2006-2007 eligible to the workers of Malakkipara Estate?

(3)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 239/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th February 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Wilson P. Ninan, s/o Ninan, Proprietor, Royal Transports, House No. 995/4, Puthenveedu, Thrikkakara Club Junction, Edappally, Kochi-24 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri P. B. Shaji s/o Late Bhaskaran, Puthenthara Veedu, Thykkattusseri P.O., Cherthala, Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri. P. B. Shaji, Driver by Wilson P. Ninan, Proprietor, Royal Transports, Palarivattom is justifiable ? If not what relief he is entitled to get ?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 240/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th February 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Engineering Department, Kannan Devan Hills Plantations Company Private Limited, Munnar P.O., Idukki District-685 612 and the

workmen of the above referred establishment represented by The Secretary, The Estate Staffs Union of South India, High range Branch, Munnar P. O., Idukki District-685 612 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri. T. Gnana-sigamoney, Telephone Operator by the management of Engineering Department, Kannan Devan Hills Plantations Company Private Limited is justifiable or not? If not what relief the worker is entitled to ?

By order of the Governor,
G. SIVAPRASAD,
Under Secretary to Government.